

CABINET SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Friday, 15th October, 2010

9.30 am

**Medway Room, Sessions House, County Hall,
Maidstone**





AGENDA

CABINET SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Friday, 15th October, 2010, at 9.30 am
Medway Room, Sessions House, County
Hall, Maidstone

Ask for: **Peter Sass**
Telephone: **01622 694002**

Membership

Liberal Democrat (1): Mrs T Dean (Chairman)

Conservative (11): Mr A R Chell, Mr R Brookbank, Mr G A Horne MBE,
Mr E E C Hotson, Mr R F Manning, Mr M J Jarvis, Mr R E King,
Mrs J P Law, Mr R L H Long, TD, Mrs J A Rook and Mr J E Scholes

Labour (1) Mr L Christie

Independent (1) Mr R J Lees

Church Representatives (3): The Reverend Canon J L Smith, The Reverend N Genders and
Dr D Wadman

Parent Governor (2): Mr B Critchley and Mr P Myers

Refreshments will be available 15 minutes before the start of the meeting

County Councillors who are not Members of the Committee but who wish to ask questions at the meeting are asked to notify the Chairman of their questions in advance.

Webcasting Notice

Please note: this meeting may be filmed for live or subsequent broadcast via the Council's internet site – at the start of the meeting the Chairman will confirm if all or part of the meeting is being filmed.

By entering the meeting room you are consenting to being filmed and to the possible use of those images and sound recordings for webcasting and/or training purposes. If you do not wish to have your image captured then you should make the Clerk of the meeting aware.

UNRESTRICTED ITEMS

(During these items the meeting is likely to be open to the public)

A. COMMITTEE BUSINESS

- A1 Introduction/Webcasting
- A2 Substitutes
- A3 Declarations of Interests by Members in Items on the Agenda for this Meeting

B. CABINET MEMBER DECISIONS AT VARIANCE TO APPROVED BUDGET OR POLICY FRAMEWORK

There are no items for consideration.

C. CABINET DECISIONS

- C1 "Change to keep succeeding" The transformation of the Council's operating framework (Pages 1 - 10)

Mr Paul Carter, Leader of the Council, Ms Katherine Kerswell, Group Managing Director, Ms Amanda Beer, Director of Personnel and Development and Mr Jeff Hawkins, Project Manager, Transformation have been invited to attend the meeting to answer Members' questions on this item.

D. CABINET MEMBER DECISIONS

There are no items for consideration.

EXEMPT ITEMS

(At the time of preparing the agenda there were no exempt items. During any such items which may arise the meeting is likely NOT to be open to the public)

Peter Sass
Head of Democratic Services and Local Leadership
(01622) 694002

Thursday, 7 October 2010

Please note that any background documents referred to in the accompanying papers maybe inspected by arrangement with the officer responsible for preparing the relevant report.

By: Peter Sass: Head of Democratic Services and Local Leadership

To: Cabinet Scrutiny Committee – 15 October 2010

Subject: “Change to keep succeeding” The transformation of the Council’s operating framework

1. Background

The First Bold step informal consultation finished on 1 October, and a report was produced for Cabinet on 11 October 2010 on the proposals, entitled “*Change to keep succeeding*” *The transformation of the Council’s operating framework*.

The report has been considered at Scrutiny Board, and is being brought before the Cabinet Scrutiny Committee to give Members’ the opportunity to discuss the proposals, make comments to the Group Managing Director on the transformation of the Council’s operating framework and ask any questions they might have at an early stage.

At the time of writing, the supplementary and more detailed report accompanying the Cabinet report had not been published. The supplementary report will be circulated to Members as soon as it is available.

2. Recommendation

Members may:

- (a) make no comments
- (b) express comments to the Managing Director or Leader

Contact: Adam Webb Tel: 01622 694764

This page is intentionally left blank

By: Paul Carter, Leader of the Council
Katherine Kerswell, Group Managing Director

To: Cabinet

Date: 11 October 2010

Subject: “Change to keep succeeding”
The transformation of the Council’s operating framework

Classification: Unrestricted

SUMMARY: This report outlines the work to date on a programme to ensure that the Council continues to deliver successfully in the face of the most significant changes facing local government in the external financial and policy context. It needs to be read in conjunction with the draft medium term plan which is being launched for consultation - “Bold Steps for Kent” as this is proposing the draft new strategic vision for the Council which the organisational framework of the Council needs to be able to support and deliver upon. A supplementary and more detailed report will be circulated prior to the meeting on 11th October due to the closing date of the consultation period upon which that part of this report needs to rely. As this further report will include details of the proposed new structure and information about members of staff, its status may be “exempt”.

1. Introduction

(i) To reduce the scale of the £156bn public deficit, to repair the nation’s public finances and to restore confidence in the national economy, the Government has embarked on a radical plan to reduce public spending. The Comprehensive Spending Review will settle the landscape for public service funding in late October. And the following month the Council will receive a clearer view of the provisional settlement in its external revenue funding. This will present elected Members and officers of the Council with our biggest challenge for a generation. Over the next four years it is likely that some £340m needs to be reduced from the Council’s net revenue budget in order to reduce spending and absorb the pressures we face. But the Council does not face this challenge alone – aside from the health service (which has to contain its intrinsic growth pressures rather than substantially reduce its base budgets) most public agencies in Kent and beyond face similar challenges. However, unlike most other public agencies, Kent County Council has the capabilities to meet these challenges head on. For when faced with challenges of this scale the Council needs to draw on its strengths of excellence and innovation.

(ii) Success is a springboard for future success. But simply repeating the success of the past will not be enough to meet the challenges of the future.

Instead the Council needs to make sure that its organisation and services are sufficiently agile to lower their costs to meet the coalition government's challenge on public sector costs and the Authority will need to evolve against the background of significant changes in other sectors including Health, Education, while sustaining and improving service outcomes. Individual services need to continue to strive to be ever more cost-effective but the overall organisation needs also to embrace an ethic of collective cost-effectiveness. This will require a more linked and connected organisation that is able to reap the benefits of scale, lower the cost of organisational infrastructure, and foster higher levels of overall productivity.

(iii) The Council needs to grasp the opportunities of the Government's decentralisation and localist agenda to revive enterprise and employment across Kent. It needs to help shape the future of education and healthcare across Kent to assure ever better life-chances for Kent's people. And it needs to make sure that its own organisation is sufficiently agile so as to continue to lower costs, raise productivity and secure ever better standards of customer service.

(iv) The proposed changes to the senior management arrangements to be outlined in the following appendix to this report (once consultation has closed) will seek to achieve the above and also to make the overall organisation leaner and fitter for future purposes. Without doubt, Kent benefits from the considerable talents and energies of the Council's most senior managers. But these benefits are not without significant cost to the taxpayer. In lowering costs and raising productivity, all layers of management need to be examined to assure cost-effectiveness and fitness for purpose. And it is crucial that the Council's senior management arrangements are reviewed to assure Members that value for money is secured and that these managers can together drive through the essential changes that are required across the County.

(v) In usual times, top-level organisational changes can help drive change throughout organisations. In times of tightening fiscal constraint they are essential to drive even deeper change throughout services and organisations. These top-level changes need to be approached in a disciplined and corporate manner. This is why I am proposing a coherent approach that secures Council-wide improvements in managerial culture, direction, and co-ordination. In particular I am mindful that during a period of major spending reductions, the conventional risks to service delivery pale against the potential risks of failure when services are being delivered on (an average of) three-quarters of their current budget. Controls based on single service or professional domains need to be strengthened by newly fashioned corporate controls to enable Members to better govern the risks to be faced over the next four years.

(vi) In order to deliver sustainable levels of budget savings over the coming four years we will require organisational courage and resilience from Members and officers alike. But these virtues are not of themselves sufficient. The Council needs to ensure that its senior managers are able to execute the changes that are required over the coming period. These senior managers need to possess the competencies and capabilities to take the

whole organisation forward and they need to possess the collective confidence to take the next bold steps.

2. OUTCOME OF THE INFORMAL CONSULTATION PROCESS

(i) The response to the initial informal consultation has been positive with nearly 200 members of staff already offering comment on the design principles. The comments are predominately positive in nature to the proposals contained within the draft design principles. Eight meetings were also held with managers about these design principles and feedback from those meetings is also being incorporated into the final draft recommendations for Cabinet.

(ii) All the feedback received will be collated and reported to Cabinet to inform their decisions and thinking about the way forward. They will also be used to assess the value of the draft design principles that have been circulated and the design of the Council's operating framework that will then flow from these.

3. PROJECT PLAN

Detail of the sequence and timing of the implementation steps will be provided in the following report. The detail of this will need to be based around the final recommendations of any proposed changes to the operational framework to be made to Cabinet.

4. PROPOSED NEW ORGANISATIONAL STRUCTURE.

The supplementary report to follow will cover:

- Details of the proposed directorates' structure,
- The proposed senior posts in each directorate and the business activity reporting into these roles.
- Outline job descriptions for each of the proposed senior roles
- A list of the current posts that it is proposed are deleted and a list of the new posts that it is proposed to create.
- Details of proposals to create a number of new companies to deliver Council services. The detail of these new company models will need to also be developed during the consultation period.

5. REVIEW OF REWARD POLICY FOR SENIOR POSTS

(i) Cabinet is asked to agree to a review, by the HayGroup, of the appropriate salary levels for the proposed senior posts. The review will take account of the level of responsibility and accountability of each proposed role and recommend an appropriate level of salary taking account of internal relativities and market rate. The review will be completed between the 18th October and 5th November.

(ii) The current salaries for senior posts are "spot" salaries, i.e. there is a rate for the job and no salary scale. Some senior posts have a contractual entitlement to a performance payment which applies a percentage lump sum

bonus according to the level of performance. However, these payments were frozen last financial year and will not be paid for this current financial year. It is proposed that senior managers are consulted during the formal consultation period on bringing senior performance pay in line with the Total Contribution Pay scheme in place for all other Kent County Council staff on the Kent Scheme of terms and conditions of employment. This proposal includes removing the current contractual bonuses for senior staff.

(iii) At the end of the formal period of consultation, all proposals for any changes to the terms and conditions for these proposed senior posts will be put to Personnel Committee for consideration before being reported to Cabinet on 16th December.

6. PROCESS FOR APPOINTING TO SENIOR POSTS

(i) Details of the process and timeline for populating the proposed senior level posts will be included in the supplementary report. This could include Member panel interviews preceded by assessment centres. Before any such arrangements like this can be agreed to, it will be necessary to follow the Council's process outlined in the Council's Blue Book of terms and conditions of employment.

(ii) This will of course be followed in deciding whether individual senior managers are "slotted" (i.e. automatically placed) to the proposed posts in the structure. This means that an individual may be slotted if all the following criteria are met:

- the job must be the same grade as before the re-organisation,
- there must be the same number of jobs (or more) as job holders
- the job is deemed 75% the same type of work in term of job accountabilities, activities and broad objectives

(iii) Then there is no recruitment process either internal or external and the employee whose job has been altered by this process is slotted in to the new job. This can only be assessed at the end of the consultation process and following the full Council's final decision on the proposals.

4. CORE VALUES AND BEHAVIOURS

(i) This change programme is aimed at enabling Kent County Council to alter the way it operates so that it can meet the new challenges it is facing. It cannot therefore be solely about the organisation structure, but must also lead to a new organisational culture.

(ii) It is therefore proposed that an external provider is procured to engage with staff across the Authority and with Members and senior managers to design a set of draft values and behaviours. This process when shared with staff has been warmly welcomed as a means of being involved in shaping the Council and ensuring we can deliver as well in the future as we have in the past.

(iii) These values and behaviours will be put forward for discussion and agreement at the County Council meeting on 16th December. Once agreed these values and behaviours will drive all aspects of the Authority's HR strategy.

5. EXIT MANAGEMENT PROCESS FOR SENIOR POSTS

It is suggested that a voluntary redundancy process is introduced, to be offered to any of the senior managers affected by the restructure proposals at the start of the process. Details of the process for this together with proposals around notice periods, appeals against decisions made and alternative job search support will follow in the supplementary report.

6. RECRUITMENT TO ANY POSSIBLE VACANT SENIOR POSTS

It is critical to the stability of the organisation, the continuation of excellent service delivery and the success of the many significant change programmes being undertaken that any senior posts left vacant are filled as soon as possible. The standard Kent County Council personnel process will be applied to any post that is not filled by a priority candidate, and the post will be advertised to internal staff with external candidates being sought contemporaneously if required. This has worked very successfully in the past and it is hoped that if such a circumstance arises of a vacant post needing to be filled, internal staff are able to come forward and be assessed for the vacancy.

7. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

(i) The Council is facing significant financial challenge more so than at any time in its past by virtue of the economic conditions of the country and the forthcoming comprehensive spending review that is proposing to reduce Council budgets between 25% to 40%. Officers have been working on a series of options to increase the efficient working of the Council and to review ways in which services can be delivered to reduce costs whilst maintaining quality to meet this level of reduction.

(ii) The management costs and organisational structure costs of the authority must be examined along with all other costs within the Council. This process will naturally contribute to the savings required. Details of the proposed savings will be available once consultation has closed and a final draft proposal for Cabinet can be created. Other savings proposals that will affect staffing arrangements in the Council will undoubtedly follow in the budget proposals that will be presented to Members later this Autumn / Winter. Effective corporate programme management will ensure alignment and enable any possible double counting to be dealt with.

8. RISKS

(i) It is important early on in this work to highlight a number of possible risks facing the Council from these proposals. A fuller risk register will be supplied

following the closure of consultation and the draft proposals being able to be concluded.

(ii) This proposed change process is happening at a very congested time for this Council. The Council along with all others in the public sector is facing unprecedented external policy and financial changes. Local people's expectations from services and what they are willing to pay for them is also changing fundamentally. We are in the process of discussing with Members and the Scrutiny committees the Council's new medium term plan "Bold Steps for Kent" which is considering a brand new focus and way of working for the next four years. The draft medium term plan is also on this Cabinet's agenda for approval for consultation.

(iii) It is imperative that the process of transforming our operational framework, preparation of the Council's medium term financial plan and the development of the medium term plan dovetail and absolutely align. They are all intricately related and the individual success of each of them relies upon the success of all.

(iv) The Group Managing Director's role is to ensure the co-ordination of such major developments and also to plan and manage the risk of non-alignment by working very closely with key officers in the Council. Therefore these programmes will be programme managed through the Group Managing Director's office and the Corporate Management Team will be the programme board for these activities. The programme office resource is in place to support this.

(v) It is important to be clear about the need to ensure accurate financial control is maintained throughout this change. This risk will be strongly mitigated by the programme management approach, the corporate management team's role as the programme board and very strong input from the financial services division into the programme team that is already in place.

(vi) In addition to the risk being mitigated by the effective programme management resource, another possible mitigation of this risk could be to delay one or several of these programmes that are occurring at the same time.

(vii) "Bold Steps for Kent" the new medium term plan, has to take place in this timeframe. Our current medium term plan "Towards 2010" has concluded and this Council needs to be clearly focused on dealing with the new policy challenges facing us and being able to plan for and deliver Members' ambitions for the next four years.

(viii) The transformation of the Council's operating framework is intrinsically linked to making certain that the Council can deliver "Bold Steps for Kent" the new medium term plan, which requires of us a new integrated delivery model and new ways of working.

(ix) The new medium term plan “Bold Steps for Kent” also supports and enables many of the proposals currently being developed to deliver the new medium term financial plan and the estimated £340m of reductions that the Council may have to find over the next four years.

(x) The medium term financial plan clearly has to take place at this time to deliver the Council’s budget and respond to the outcome of the comprehensive spending review on the 20th October. The changes proposed by this report will enable many of the financial reductions that will be necessary to be made.

(xi) If we are to avoid a period of managed decline we need to deliver our services at lower cost and in different ways. If we halt the organisational change that this report contemplates we face a different risk of “salami slicing” of services and being unable to deliver the quality of service that Kent is renowned for. It is important that the costs of how this organisation delivers its services are considered and challenged as much as the costs of what we deliver in actual services.

(xii) Kent has a national reputation for being able to seize opportunities at the right moment. If we fail to align these three programmes effectively and not maximise the support they give to each other and manage the pace of each through a co-ordinated and resourced programme office we run the different risk of losing the benefits of these processes and prolonging the period of turbulence for this organisation.

(xiii) Another two risks of all these programmes and in particular the subject of this report are the risks to morale and leadership capacity. Members will be able to see from the responses from staff to this informal consultation (those received to date) that they talk about the uncertainty that they all feel. There are also comments welcoming the fact they we are facing up to this and want to involve staff in how we deal with the situation. There has also been very positive support expressed in the managers meetings about taking up this difficult situation with their teams to help manage the transition and deal with the uncertainty that the external policy changes and financial environment are driving.

(xiv) As our services have to be reduced and the policy challenges we are facing and also wish to create ourselves are changing, we need to examine the most senior posts that we have in this organisation. We must ensure that they along with all the other roles and services are fit for purpose and that the overhead costs that they represent are appropriate. The period between our current operational framework to any different framework that Members agree will need to be very carefully managed through a transition programme to ensure effective capacity is available to keep the programmes of the Council going.

(xv) All areas of this Council are being reviewed and challenged as part of the process to find the £340m reductions needed over the next four years. There is the risk that if we fail to examine the costs of our most senior management structures and whether they are designed in the most effective way for our

future, we will give a contradictory message to the rest of our staff about the different values placed upon roles at different levels in the Council. That is clearly not part of the values of this authority and it is important that we must be seen to be demonstrating explicitly the equity and fairness of the approach that we take to examining all costs at all levels and in all services.

(xvi) This Council can be proud of the fact that we have a strong pool of resilient and steadfast managers who have met such challenges as this in the past and have managed the transition and uncertainty that is necessary in such a turbulent period.

(xvii) Cabinet must also consider in assessing the options that this report will place before them a slightly different type of risk. The risks above can be described as a type 1 risk. The risk of something going wrong that can then either be mitigated or put right.

(xviii) here is also the type 2 risk that needs to be considered. This is the risk of not doing something, that if you had done it – it would have delivered the future you are seeking to achieve.

(xix) The consequence of a type 2 risk in relation to this report and the proposals that are to follow; is that changing the organisation at a later date when the opportunities we are seeking to take advantage of have moved on could be much more costly and damaging for us. The external demands of the policy changes from the new government and the financial reductions we will have to find show no sign of slowing down or reducing, indeed they seem to be accelerating. Cabinet will need to consider the cost of change now in all its dimensions or a possible much increased cost at a later date.

9.RECOMMENDATION

Cabinet is asked to note and agree as appropriate the proposals put forward in this report and to note that further recommendations will follow in the supplementary report.

Note: *This report will also be discussed at a meeting of the Scrutiny Board which is taking place on 11 October on the rising of the Cabinet meeting and a meeting of the Cabinet Scrutiny Committee taking place on Friday 15 October 2010*

Background documents: The First Bold step Informal consultation document

Katherine Kerswell
4000

Amanda Beer
4136